#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

### **PCT**

## TRANSLATION INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

1 **	nt's or a	gent's file referen	ce	FOR FURTHER AC	TION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416	
				International filing date	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)	
International application No. PCT/EP2006/008538			538	31.08.2006		01.09.2005	
						01.00.100	
	International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC  A61K47/48						
Applica CEL		S GMBH					
1.	1. This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.						
2.	This F	REPORT consists	of a total of	sheets, including this cover sheet.		is cover sheet.	
3.	This r	eport is also acco	mpanied by A	NNEXES, comprising:			
	a. 🛭	(sent to the	applicant and	to the International Bure	eau) a total of 24	sheets, as follows:	
a. (sent to the applicant and to the International Bureau) a total of sheets, as follows sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Admini Instructions).							
	sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplementa Box.						
	ьГ	7	International	Rureau only) a total of (i	ndicate twoe and number	er of electronic carrier(c))	
b (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s))							
802 of the Administrative Instructions).							
4.	This r	eport contains inc	lications relati	ng to the following items	:		
Box No. I Basis of the		report					
							$\boxtimes$
		ty of invention					
Box No. V Reasoned statement under				r Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; s supporting such statement			
Box No. VI Certain documents c		uments cited					
		Box No. VII	Certain defe	ects in the international application			
	Box No. VIII Certain observations on the i			ervations on the internation	ernational application		
Date of	Date of submission of the demand				Date of completion of the	nis report	
					•	•	
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP			A	Authorized officer			
Facsimile No.			Т	elephone No.			

International application No.

PCT/EP2006/008538

Box	No. I	Basis of the report					
1.	With	n regard to the language, this report is based on:					
	$\boxtimes$	the international application in the language in which it wa	ne international application in the language in which it was filed				
		the translation of the international application into translation furnished for the purposes of:					
		international search (Rule 12.3(a) and 23.1(b))					
		publication of the international application (Rule 12.4)	4(a))				
		international preliminary examination (Rule 55.2(a) a					
2.	recei	With regard to the <b>elements</b> of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the eceiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to					
		report): the international application as originally filed/furnished					
	$\overline{\boxtimes}$	the description:					
		•		as originally filed/furnished			
		pages*					
		pages*					
	$\square$		_ received by this redinority on _				
		the claims:					
		nos.		as originally filed/furnished			
		nos.*		02.07.2007 with letter			
		nos.* 1-22	_ received by this Authority on	of 02.07.2007			
		nos.*	received by this Authority on				
	$\boxtimes$	the drawings:					
		sheets 1/26-5/26, 9/26, 10/26, 23/26-25	/26	as originally filed/furnished 02.07.2007 with letter			
		sheets* 6/26-8/26,11/26-22/26,26/26	received by this Authority on				
		sheets*	_ received by this Authority on .				
		a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) – see Suppler	nental Box Relating to Sequence L	isting.			
3.		The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:	amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:				
		the description, pages					
		the claims, nos.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
		the drawings, sheets/figs					
		the sequence listing (specify):					
		any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):					
4.		This report has been established as if (some of) the amen they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as f					
		the description, pages					
			the drawings, sheets/figs				
			the sequence listing (specify):				
		any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):					
*	If ite	em 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "sup					

International application No.

PCT/EP2006/008538

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:					
the en	the entire international application				
Claim	s, Nos. 9 (in part)				
because:					
the sa	uid international application, or said claims Nos.  to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination ( <i>specify</i> ):				
Telate	to the following subject matter which does not require an international premimiary examination (speedy).				
	escription, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. 9 (in part) o unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):				
the cl	aims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported				
	e description that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):				
no int	ternational search report has been established for said claims Nos.				
a mea	a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the sequence listing; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit:				
	furnish a sequence listing on paper complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Preliminary Examining Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.				
	furnish a sequence listing in electronic form complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Preliminary Examining Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.				
	pay the required late furnishing fee for the furnishing of a sequence listing in response to an invitation under Rules 13ter.1(a)				
prescr of the	or (b) and 13ter.2.  aningful opinion could not be formed without the tables related to the sequence listings; the applicant did not, within the ribed time limit, furnish such tables in electronic form complying with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bise Administrative Instructions, and such tables were not available to the International Preliminary Examining Authority in a form manner acceptable to it.				
the ta	ibles related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in electronic form only, do not comply with the technical rements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.				
	Supplemental Box for further details.				

International application No.
PCT/EP2006/008538

Box		Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement			
1.	Statement				
	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-22	YES	
		Claims		NO	
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims	1-8, 10-22	YES	
		Claims	9	NO	
	Industrial applicability (L	A) Claims	1-22	YES	
		Claims		NO	

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)

**D1:** WO 2004 083 258

**D2:** WO 98 184 94

**D3:** WO 02 43 772

**D4:** US 2003 0 161 791

**D5:** WO 2004 108 634.

D1 are D5 cited in the application.

#### 1. Amendments (PCT Article 33(2)(b))

Compound (I) contains at least three groups of the formula (IIa) (original claim 1 and page 18), wherein T is TRIS-branched, as disclosed in the original claim 3 and on page 8. Dependent claims 3-22 have been brought into line. The drawings have been corrected since the claimed compounds contain no peroxy groups (PCT Rule 91.1). Thus, the requirements of PCT Article 33(2)(b) have been satisfied.

/...

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

#### 2. Novelty PCT Article 33(2)

Compounds of the formula (I) with at least three polyalkylene oxide groups, wherein P is at least one of the three groups, are already known from the prior art:

- D1 (page 29, second compound: see also page 28, lines 9-10; claims 3-4 and 23-24)
- D2 (page 7, line 31 to page 8, line 1; page 9, lines 12-20 and 23-28; page 10, lines 11-26; example; claims 29, 33-34 and 40)
- D3 (page 9, line 16 to page 10, line 3; page 11, lines 3-6 and 14-17; page 16, line 17 to page 17, line 25: formulas Ib and Ic; example 6; claims 1, 4-5, 21, 25 and 28)
- **D4** (columns 48, 87, 93 and 119-122; claims 49 and 52-53)
- D5 (example 3; example B; formula in C, in particular (XIIb), (XIIc), (XV) and (XVI); claims 1-2, 4 and 6).

The known compounds are also used as reagents for modifying biopharmaceuticals (D1, columns 89-90, 139-141, claims 11 and 31; D2, page 2, lines 11-16 and 22-25; page 11, line 31 to page 12, line 13; claims 36 and 42; D3, page 22, lines 14-24; page 23, lines 17-21; page 27, line 1; claim 48; D4, columns 36, 67, 136 and 172; examples 5 and 7; claim 54; and D5, claims 9-15).

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Since, by contrast with claim 1 of the present application, the above compounds do not include any TRIS branching, novelty can be acknowledged in respect of the subject matter of claims 1-24.

#### 3. Inventive step (PCT Article 33(3))

Prior art document **D5** also describes compounds of the formula (I) — with a total of at least three groups of the formula (IIa) but, by contrast with the disclosure of claim 1 of the present application, no TRIS—branched group T — as reagents for the modification of bio—pharmaceuticals. The problem addressed by the present application is that of devising a straightforward way of providing branched PEG reagents. The proposed solution lies in the provision of compounds that comprise three PEG groups (formula (IIa)) and a TRIS—branching group.

Known PEG reagents contain natural amino acids as branching components, said amino acids having known disadvantages (see the description, pages 3-6). Therefore even in D5, alternatives are sought. Although the compounds in D5 also contain at least three PEG groups of the formula (IIa) (D5, page 10), the branching T is a linear alkyl chain (D5, pages 18 and 22-23) or  $(CO)C]_2(C)(CO)$  (D5: formula (XV) or (XVI)), and not T = N(C)[OCH2]\_3 as in the present application. The production of PEG reagents

/ . . .

International application No.
PCT/EP2006/008538

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

of up to 6 PEG chains was disclosed in D5. In the present application PEG reagents with a higher number of branches are produced using the TRIS branching group (see figures 20 and 21). Document D5 contains nothing to indicate that such a high degree of branching can be achieved by means of this type of synthesis.

Thus, the subject matter of claims 1-8 and 11-24 involves an inventive step. In the case of claim 9, the scope of protection is not clearly defined (see continuation of Box III in the Supplemental Box below).

International application No.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY	PCT/EP2006/008538
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application	
The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the que the description, are made:	estion whether the claims are fully supported by
The expression "preferably" is unclear s	ince it has no
restrictive effect on the scope of protect	ction of the
claim (see claim 13).	

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.

Continuation of: Box III

# Box III: Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

Claim 9 relates to a specific compound (I). The scope of protection of said claim now appears to be broader than in the newly submitted claim 1 since P comprises two groups of the formula (II), not of the formula (IIa). Said compound should comprise three groups of the formula (IIa). This results in a lack of clarity (PCT Article 6).